UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED ST	TATES OF	AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number _	CR05-00644RMW
v.				
JOHN HUI	RTADO	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTIC HEARING	N PENDING REVOCATION
In a	accordance	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §	3142, 3143(a) and Criminal Rul	e 32.1(a)(b), a detention hearing
was held on	September	8, 2011. Defendant was present, repre	esented by his attorney Cynthia Li	ie AFPD. The United States was
-	•	t U.S. Attorney Susan Knight.		
		NS APPLICABLE		
/ /	The defend	dant is charged with an offense descri	bed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and	the defendant has been convicted
		ibed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while o		
		five (5) years has elapsed since the da	ate of conviction or the release of	the person from imprisonment,
whichever is				
		s a rebuttable presumption that no con	dition or combination of condition	ons will reasonably assure the safety
of any other	person and	the community.		Per 11 Per Pro
1	There is pi	robable cause based upon (the indictm	ent) (the facts found in Part IV be	elow, to be ieve that the defendant
has committ	ed an offen			
A.		for which a maximum term of impr		orescrigePin-218U29P §
D		801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a		- BICHARD W WIEKING
B.		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a f	direarm during the commission of	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
III	s establishes	s a rebuttable presumption that no con	dition or combination of condition	ME WEIN TEASONAD LY ASSLITE (1964 SAN JOSE
		dant as required and the safety of the	•	no ovidonas that his not a flight vial
or a danger t		mption applies but defendant has burd	ten of offering clear and convincing	ig evidence that his not a flight risk
_		ndant has not come forward with suff	icient evidence to meet his burder	and he therefore will be ordered
detained.	7 The dele	Maint has not come forward with suit.	icient evidence to meet his burder	i, and he incretore will be ordered
	The defend	dant has come forward with evidence	to rebut the applicable presumption	on[s] to wit:
		en of proof shifts back to the United S		onto to with the
		ERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR I		
	•	d States has proved to a preponderance	,	n or combination of conditions will
		opearance of the defendant as required		
1 1	The United	d States has proved by clear and convi	ncing evidence that no condition	or combination of conditions will
reasonably a	ssure the sa	fety of any other person and the comm	nunity.	
PART IV. W	RITTEN FI	NDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT O	F REASONS FOR DETENTION	
/ /	The Court	has taken into account the factors set	out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and al	l of the information submitted at
the hearing a	and finds as	follows:		
	-	his attorney, and the AUSA have wait	ved written findings.	
		REGARDING DETENTION		
		ommitted to the custody of the Attorne	-	
		to the extent practicable from persons		
		orded a reasonable opportunity for priv		
	-	uest of an attorney for the Government	/ - 1	•
tendant to the	United Sta	tes Marshal for the purpose of an appe	earance in connection with a cour	t proceeding.
			/\ (/	
	1	1 .	110	
ited:	ala	/ l)	11	1
iicu.	لا	HO!	WARD ILLOYD	
	,,		ted States Magistrate Judge	
		Cin	or pienes magistrate sunge	1